



# Questions for FY26 Procurement

1. *Are we moving away from a MTR that includes client signature and notes about the client back to the signature timesheet with either a 30 day treatment plan (moderate/high risk offender) or 90 day treatment plan (for less risk offenders)? If this is the case, who determines risk and which treatment plan?*

Answer: No, the monthly treatment report which is combined with the signature page will be the same and is included in the RFP for FY26. The USPO determines the risk level and they should note that during the time of referral. For sex offenders - all our consider high risk and staffing should be done monthly.

2. *The PDF also mentions that the Judiciary does not pay for no show sessions (which I know and never charged for - which I've always had an issue with because I could have scheduled that time for someone else) but then says something about recommending adding it to section B to get paid? Not sure if I read that correctly?*

Answer: We cannot pay for no shows - it should still be documented on the monthly treatment log that the person was a no show. These are national contracts and we cannot change the no show policy, but I totally understand your concern.

3. *Are we able to determine our prices for services or do we have to use the previous year's prices?*

Answer: These are competitive contracts, and we use the prices to determine the lowest bidder which is technically acceptable. Therefore, the lowest technically acceptable provider is awarded the contract.

4. *Do we have to include past monitoring reports in our solicitation?*

Answer: Yes, if you are not a current vendor for our district.